

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005

				V
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/643,831	08/19/2003	Christopher R. McMurray	R087 1270.1	5159
26158 75	90 03/14/2005		EXAM	INER
WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC P.O. BOX 7037			NGUYEN, TRINH T	
ATLANTA, GA 30357-0037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	14
*	10/643,831	MCMURRAY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Trinh T Nguyen	3644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	bears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl t f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for c, cause the application to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 F 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,		
Disposition of Claims			5
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,6-23 and 25-31 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,6-23,25-31 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. It is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic nity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eation No sived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination under 37 CFR 1.114 After Final Rejection

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/14/04 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7-9, 11, 12, 14, 20, 21, and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gualandi (US 6,481,356).

For claim 1, Gualandi discloses a sabot (8, 15, 12, 5, 2, 1, 3, 4, 6, 9), comprising: a compression section (15) defining a payload receiving chamber at a forward end of the sabot for receiving a slug (7) therein, the compression section including a plurality to fins defined by a combination of alternating internal and external ridges (see attached Figure 1 at the end of this Office Action); and a solid section wherein the compression

Art Unit: 3644

section is adapted to at least partially collapse upon firing to produce a volume change (see lines 35-50 of col. 4) (12, 5, 2, 1, Note that *The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition* copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company (Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation) defines the term "solid" as "of definite shape and volume" or "firm or compact in substance" or "being the same substance or color throughout". Therefore, it is believe that Gualandi's portions 12, 5, 2, and 1 can be considered as a solid section) extending rearwardly from the compression section (see Figure 1).

For claim 3, Gualandi further discloses the payload receiving chamber further includes a post (8, 9).

For claim 4, Gualandi further discloses the solid section includes a powder cup section formed opposite the compression section.

For claim 5, Gualandi further discloses the compression section comprises a plurality of interconnected collapsible fins (15, 10B, 10A).

For claim 7, Gualandi further discloses the sabot is axisymmetric.

For claim 8, Gualandi further discloses a firearm round, comprising: a sabot (8, 15, 12, 5, 2, 1, 3, 4, 6, 9) including a compression section (15) defining a payload receiving chamber therein and a solid section wherein the compression section is adapted to at least partially collapse upon firing to produce a volume change (see lines 35-50 of col. 4) (12, 5, 2, 1, Note that *The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition* copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company (Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation) defines the term "solid" as "of definite shape

Art Unit: 3644

and volume" or "firm or compact in substance" or "being the same substance or color throughout". Therefore, it is believe that Gualandi's portions 12, 5, 2, and 1 can be considered as a solid section) connected to the compression section; and a slug (7) received and fitted within the payload receiving chamber of the sabot (see Figure 1). Further note that the compression section including a plurality to fins defined by a combination of alternating internal and external ridges (see attached Figure 1 at the end of this Office Action).

For claim 9, Gualandi further discloses the slug (7) comprises a nose, a driving band adjacent the nose and a stem connected to the driving band (see Figure 3).

For claim 11, Gualandi further discloses the stem of the slug includes a post cavity (the areas where posts 8 and 9 are located).

For claim 12, Gualandi further discloses the payload receiving chamber of the sabot includes a post (8, 9) fitted within the post cavity of the slug (the areas where posts 8 and 9 are located).

For claim 14, Gualandi further discloses the stem has a diameter less than a diameter of the driving band (see Figure 3).

For claim 20, Gualandi further discloses the solid section of the sabot includes a powder cup (see Figure 1).

For claim 21, Gualandi further discloses the compression section of the sabot comprises a plurality of interconnected collapsible fins (15, 10B, 10A).

For claim 27, Gualandi further discloses a firearm round, comprising: a sabot (8, 15, 12, 5, 2, 1, 3, 4, 6, 9) including a compression section (15) defining a payload

Art Unit: 3644

receiving chamber therein, a post (8, 9) integrally formed within the payload receiving chamber and a solid section (12, 5, 2, 1, Note that The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company (Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation) defines the term "solid" as "of definite shape and volume" or "firm or compact in substance" or "being the same substance or color throughout". Therefore, it is believe that Gualandi's portions 12, 5, 2, and 1 can be considered as a solid section) projecting rearwardly from the compression section; and a slug (7) fitted to the post of the sabot wherein the compression section is adapted to at least partially collapse upon firing to produce a volume change (see lines 35-50 of col. 4). Further note that the compression section including a plurality to fins defined by a combination of alternating internal and external ridges (see attached Figure 1 at the end of this Office Action).

For claim 28, Gualandi further discloses the slug comprises a stem defining a chamber adapted to receive the post of the sabot.

For claim 29, Gualandi further discloses the slug projects forwardly from the compression section of the sabot.

For claim 30, Gualandi further discloses the post substantially fills the payload receiving chamber.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 3644

a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gualandi (US 6,481,356).

Gualandi is silent about having the driving band includes a length less than about 25% of the overall diameter of the firearm round. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the driving band includes a length less than about 25% of the overall diameter of the firearm round, since it has been held where routine testing and general experimental conditions are present, discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Furthermore, since applicant did not provide a reason or an advantage as to why the driving band has to be in a length less than about 25% of the overall diameter of the firearm round, it is believe that through trial and error in manufacturing procedure that one comes up with this value to meet the require design criteria for manufacturing of a firearm round.

6. Claims 2, 19, 22, 23, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gualandi (US 6,481,356) in view of Hoffman (US 4,939,997).

For claims 2, 19, and 22, as described above, Gualandi discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the compression section further includes a locking ring mounted within the payload receiving chamber for engaging the slug.

Hoffman teaches a similar firearm round as that of Gualandi in which Hoffman's firearm round having a projectile/slug (6) mounted within a sabot (1) wherein the sabot further comprises the use of a locking ring (7) so as to engage the projectile/slug therein

exiting from the sabot.

Art Unit: 3644

(see Figure 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the firearm round of Gualandi so as to include the use of a locking ring for the engagement of the projectile/slug within the sabot, in a similar manner as taught in Hoffman, since to do so would provide the locking ring as a securing device under centrifugal force and in response to the spin acting on the projectile/slug the locking ring will expand to facilitate the projectile/slug

For claim 23, Gualandi as modified by Hoffman (emphasis on Gualandi) further discloses the solid section (12, 5, 2, 1, Note that *The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition* copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company (Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation) defines the term "solid" as "of definite shape and volume" or "firm or compact in substance" or "being the same substance or color throughout". Therefore, it is believe that Gualandi's portions 12, 5, 2, and 1 can be considered as a solid section) includes a powder cup section (see Figure 1).

For claim 26, Gualandi as modified by Hoffman (emphasis on Gualandi) further discloses a projectile (7) received within the payload receiving chamber and extending forwardly from the compression section.

7. Claims 6, 10, 15, 16, 18, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gualandi (US 6,481,356) in view of Dippold et al. (US 5,263,418).

For claims 6 and 18, as described above, Gualandi discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the sabot comprises a high density polyethylene.

Art Unit: 3644

However, Dippold et al. teaches a similar firearm round as that of Gualandi in which Dippold et al.'s firearm round having a sabot (12) made out of polyethylene (see lines 39-41 of col. 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the firearm round of Gualandi so as to include the use of a polyethylene sabot, in a similar manner as taught in Dippold et al., since using polyethylene sabot would allow the sabot to be compressed when the slug is loaded into the barrel/round and thus provide a snug fit without undesired bulging.

For claim 10, as described above, Gualandi discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the nose of the slug includes a nose cavity. However, Dippold et al. teaches a similar firearm round as that of Gualandi in which Dippold et al.'s firearm round having a slug (10) includes a nose cavity/recess (26) thereon. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the firearm round of Gualandi so as to include a nose cavity/recess in the slug, in a similar manner as taught in Dippold et al., since to do so would allow the forming of spaced petals which curl outwardly and rearwardly; thus, increasing the outer diameter of the slug so that it enhances the cutting and tearing action of the slug as it passes through the target.

For claims 15 and 31, as described above, Gualandi discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the slug comprises at least about 95% by weight lead. However, Dippold et al. teaches a similar firearm round as that of Gualandi in which Dippold et al.'s firearm round having a slug includes at least about 95% by weight lead (see lines 30-33 of col. 2). It would have been obvious to one having

Art Unit: 3644

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the firearm round of Gualandi so as to include a slug having at least about 95% by weight lead, in a similar manner as taught in Dippold et al., since using lead slug (note that lead is a dense and/or heavy metallic element which has high specific gravity) would increase the penetration potential to a target and thus provide more damage to the target.

For claim 16, as described above, Gualandi discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the slug comprises antimony. However, Dippold et al. teaches a similar firearm round as that of Gualandi in which Dippold et al.'s firearm round having a slug comprises antimony (see lines 30-33 of col. 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the firearm round of Gualandi so as to include a slug made out of antimony, in a similar manner as taught in Dippold et al., since using antimony in combination with lead in slug would greatly increase the mechanical strength and hardness of lead and thus would produce a hard and strong slug which would cause more damage to the target.

8. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gualandi (US 6,481,356) in view of Stevens (US 5,361,701).

As described above, Gualandi discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the slug is plated or jacketed.

Stevens teaches a similar firearm round as that of Gualandi in which Stevens' firearm round having a plated or jacketed slug (137 and 237 in Figures 3 & 4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 3644

made to have modified the firearm round of Gualandi so as to include the use of a plated or jacketed slug, in a similar manner as taught in Stevens, since to do so would serve to prevent the lead slug from rubbing onto and clogging the rifling and to maintain the integrity in the shape of the slug.

9. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gualandi (US 6,481,356) in view of Hoffman (US 4,939,997), and further in view of Dippold et al. (US 5,263,418).

Gualandi as modified by Hoffman discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the sabot comprises a high-density polyethylene, low-density polyethylene, linear, low-density polyethylene, and combinations thereof.

Dippold et al. teaches a similar firearm round as that of Gualandi as modified by Hoffman in which Dippold et al.'s firearm round having a sabot (12) made out of polyethylene (see lines 39-41 of col. 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the firearm round of Gualandi as modified by Hoffman so as to include the use of a polyethylene sabot, in a similar manner as taught in Dippold et al., since using polyethylene sabot would allow the sabot to be compressed when the slug is loaded into the barrel/round and thus provide a snug fit without undesired bulging.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 2/14/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/643,831

Art Unit: 3644

11. Applicant argues that the prior arts fails to disclose the compression section including a plurality to fins defined by a combination of alternating internal and external ridges. The Examiner disagreed. Please referred to paragraph numbers 3-9 above for further explanation.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trinh T Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9082. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 A.M to 6:00 P.M).

The examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (703) 305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Trinh T Neuven Patent Ex. Art Unit 3644 3/2/05



